Chronic subdural haemorrhage Untangling the complexities

NICHOLAS LITTLE MB BS(Hons), FRACS HEATH FRENCH MB BS, MS

Chronic subdural haemorrhage (CSDH) is a common presentation in both the emergency department and in general practice. It is primarily a disease of the elderly that, if symptomatic, requires surgical evacuation. It is common for these patients to take anticoagulation or antiplatelet medication, which commonly delays surgery. Given the frequent multiple comorbidities, management requires careful discussion with the patient and family.

hronic subdural haemorrhage (CSDH) is predominantly a disease of the elderly.^{1,2} It is a collection of 'old' blood that has mostly or partly liquefied and has accumulated under the dura mater, between it and the arachnoid. It is important to make the distinction between acute subdural haemorrhage (ASDH) and CSDH. ASDH presents following severe trauma and often occurs in younger adults, whereas CSDH mostly occurs in the elderly, and head trauma is often occult leading to a delayed diagnosis (Box 1). ASDH is caused by structural brain injury, i.e. a burst temporal lobe, whereas CSDH results from tearing the veins on the surface of the cortex that drain into the dural sinuses.¹

CSDH is thought to begin with a trauma to the bridging veins resulting in subdural haemorrhage.^{1,3} Migration of clotting factors liquefies the clot.⁴ It is widely accepted that the clot evokes an inflammatory response that creates a friable neovascular membrane. Recurrent microhaemorrhages occur within the membrane and create a cascade that causes progression of the CSDH.⁵ Occasionally the fluid is clear, identical to CSF. This is called subdural hygroma and rarely requires surgical management.⁴

MedicineToday 2018; 19(2): 31-34

© GCA/SPI

Dr Little is a Consultant Neurosurgeon and Spinal Surgeon at Royal North Shore Hospital and North Shore Private Hospital, Sydney; and a Senior Lecturer at The University of Sydney, Sydney. Dr French is a Neurosurgical Registrar at Royal North Shore Hospital and North Shore Private Hospital, Sydney, NSW.



KEY POINTS

- Chronic subdural haemorrhage (CSDH) is a separate entity to acute subdural haemorrhage with different pathophysiology and management.
- Risk factors for CSDH are old age, recurrent falls, anticoagulation or antiplatelet medication and alcohol use.
- Just under half of the patients presenting with CSDH will be on anticoagulation or antiplatelet medication.
- Percutaneous twist drill, burr hole and minicraniotomy have been shown to have similar efficacy in the evacuation of CSDH.
- When deciding when to restart anticoagulation or antiplatelet medication in postoperative patients with a thromboembolic risk, the risk of recurrent haemorrhage must be weighed against the risk of a thromboembolic event while the medication is being withheld.
- Careful discussion with the patient and their family regarding the risks, benefits and expectations of surgery is key in the management of CSDH.
- Often CSDH can be managed conservatively as many collections will resolve without any specific intervention. In general, intervention is determined on clinical grounds.
- Medical therapy, such as corticosteroids, is not usually used to treat this condition.
- Recurrence/persistence of subdural collection is relatively common (more than 15% of cases), and most patients with CSDH need to be followed to resolution with imaging.

1. CASE SCENARIO

An 80-year-old man, who attends your practice infrequently, is brought in by his wife after she notices he is getting more forgetful and confused. He has been falling more frequently over the past six months. His wife is uncertain whether he has ever hit his head, and there is no evidence of head trauma. He has a family history of dementia, and she wonders if this is causing his memory problems.

His past medical history is otherwise remarkable; he has chronic hypertension and type 2 diabetes controlled with oral hypoglycaemics, and he is on apixaban for chronic atrial fibrillation. He walks into clinic with a walking stick due to poor balance. You notice he has some difficulty with finding his words.

After excluding urinary tract infection via dipstick, checking his vitals and basic blood tests, you consider getting a non-contrast CT brain scan to rule out gross intracranial pathology. He is alert without any sinister features, so you ask him to come back to your clinic tomorrow to discuss the CT scan result.

That afternoon, the radiology department calls you to inform

you the scan shows a left 20 mm chronic subdural haemorrhage (CSDH) causing a 10 mm midline shift. You call the patient's wife and direct them to the nearest emergency department with a neurosurgical service.

The patient is admitted and, with his neurosurgeon, he and his wife decide to proceed with surgical evacuation. Apixaban is ceased and surgery is delayed 48 hours to allow for its anticoagulation effect to wear off. The CSDH is evacuated via burr hole given that the collection does not contain an abundance of membranes and is mostly liquefied.

The patient stays in ICU for one night with a temporary subdural drain that is removed after 24 hours. He recovers full control of his speech and memory problems resolve two weeks after surgery. He spends one week in hospital followed by two weeks in rehabilitation and is eventually discharged without a walking stick. Apixaban is restarted four weeks after surgery. By this point the progress CT is satisfactory with brain back to the convexity and minimal subdural fluid.

Presentation and diagnosis

The diagnosis of CSDH can be difficult to make clinically because the presentation is insidious and occult head trauma is unrecognised by the patient and distant from the presentation.⁶ Symptoms are often nonspecific and can range from mild headache or gait disturbance, to vertigo, confusion or focal neurological deficits including focal seizures, hemiparesis or dysphasia (Figures 1 and 2).³⁷ Reported head trauma is absent in one-third of cases.⁷⁸ Further, CSDH may

be found incidentally on brain imaging.

Non-contrast CT scan is a simple investigation that can clearly diagnose CSDH. It is the most sensitive for acute haemorrhage, easy to do and less costly than MRI.⁹

Although MRI is more sensitive than CT at delineating CSDH membranes, providing information regarding the brain parenchyma, and at diagnosing isodense CSDH, CT alone is sufficient in most cases.⁹

The two most common causes of cerebral atrophy are increasing age and



Figure 1. Large left-sided CSDH causing significant mass effect with midline shift. The patient was drowsy, with right-side hemiparesis and was treated surgically.

chronic alcohol use. Cerebral atrophy stretches the bridging vessels in the subdural space and increases the risk of CSDH. The risk doubles with haematological diathesis, and anticoagulant or antiplatelet medications.^{1,7,10,11} CSDH can also be a rare complication of CSF over-drainage from a ventriculoperitoneal shunt.^{1,7,10,11}

Transient neurological deficit

Transient neurological deficit can be a presentation of CSDH in up to 5% of cases and mimics transient ischaemic attacks.^{12,13} It is difficult to distinguish between the two in patients with transient neurological deficit when the CSDH is isodense and causes minimal mass effect.⁶ In this instance, a brain MRI should be performed in the first place to identify diffusion weighted changes indicating infarction. The carotid arteries should be also investigated for an embolic source.¹³

The aetiology is postulated to be due to epileptiform activity or compression of the cortical vessels resulting in transient cortical ischaemia.¹³ Evacuation of the CSDH is curative in most cases.^{12,13}

Parkinsonism

Although rare, Parkinsonian symptoms, including rigidity, bradykinesia, tremor and



Figure 2. Smaller left-sided chronic subdural haemorrhage in a patient with headache who was only taking aspirin. The patient was observed for a week with cessation of aspirin and re-imaged. Headaches persisted and the patient developed ataxia and very mild right-sided weakness. The patient was operated on after a progress scan and made a full recovery.

postural instability, may develop in the context of CSDH.^{8,14} Reversal of Parkinsonism in patients with unilateral and bilateral CSDH is increasingly being reported in the literature.^{8,14} It is postulated that the mass effect from the CSDH in a susceptible patient with preclinical nigrostriatal dys-function distorts the basal ganglia responsible for the movement disorder.¹⁴

Dementia

Alteration in mental state is another reversible manifestation of CSDH.¹⁵ Just under half of patients who present with a CSDH have a history of pre-existing confusion or dementia, making the diagnosis difficult.¹¹ Generally, if the confusion is preexisting for more than six months before the diagnosis of the CSDH, evacuation is unlikely to help.¹¹ However, a non-contrast CT brain scan is an essential investigation in the workup for chronic confusion in the elderly, and a diagnosis of idiopathic or degenerative dementia should not be made until this is done.¹¹

Cases in young adults

CSDH can also occur in young adults. This is discussed in box 2.¹⁶⁻¹⁹

Management and outcome

Surgical intervention is considered in patients with CSDH when mass effect on the underlying cerebral cortex and midline shift causing symptomatology are present (Flowchart).^{2,11} Surgery is generally very successful in evacuating the clot and acutely resolving the patient's symptoms.² The three principle techniques neurosurgeons use to evacuate CSDH are twist-drill craniostomy, burr-hole drainage and minicraniotomy drainage. Twist-drill craniostomy is less invasive with low morbidity whereas minicraniotomy drainage is more invasive with better visibility. Burr-hole drainage is somewhere in between.²⁰

Minicraniotomy is commonly used to evacuate loculated CSDH because of the theoretical advantage of being able to perform a membranectomy.^{2,21} However, twist-drill craniosotomy and burr-hole evacuation are just as efficacious despite the presence of loculations with less morbidity. For recurrent/persistent CSDH, there is evidence in favour of minicraniotomy to prevent a third operation.²

Postoperative drainage systems decrease recurrence risk by more than half and are therefore common practice for neurosurgeons.^{2,22-24} No differences have been observed between subgaleal or subdural drain.²⁴ Postsurgical bleeding related to removal has been rarely reported in postsurgery drainage systems.² Neurosurgeons commonly leave the patient flat for 24 hours after surgery to allow the brain to come

2. CSDH IN THE YOUNG

Chronic subdural haemorrhage (CSDH) in the young adult is a different beast to the elderly.^{16,17} Young patients have less brain atrophy so subdural haemorrhage is uncommon.¹⁸ Notwithstanding, the most common cause is still trauma. Patients become symptomatic months after the trauma as the CSDH builds up over time.19 The risk factors for CSDH in young patients are different from those in the elderly. The most common are coagulopathies, arterio-venous malformations, cerebral aneurysms, arachnoid cysts or intracranial hypertension.¹⁷ In turn, young patients presenting with a spontaneous CSDH should be investigated for these conditions.

If the CSDH is symptomatic, evacuation is required, the indications being largely the same as for elderly patients. Due to there being less intracranial space, even relatively small collections in younger people can more often cause symptoms, and the incidence of headache as a presenting symptom is higher.^{16,17,19}

back to the convexity, but the evidence for this practice is not convincing.²

The use of antiplatelet and anticoagulation medications is increasing with the ageing population.²⁵ Just under half of patients presenting with CSDH will be on antiplatelet or anticoagulation medication.²⁶ This makes the decision of timing of surgery challenging. Generally, if the symptoms are minor, surgery should be delayed for one week if the patient is on aspirin, prasugrel or clopidogrel.^{26,27} If the patient is on warfarin, it may be reversed with vitamin K and/or fresh frozen plasma and/or prothrombin complex concentrate. Provided the International Normalised Ratio (INR) has normalised, surgery can safely proceed.28

If the patient is on the new oral anticoagulants (NOAC) such as dabigatran, rivaroxaban or apixaban, it is optimal for the surgery to be delayed for 48 hours after the cessation of these medications.²⁷ If the patient rapidly deteriorates and the CSDH requires urgent evacuation, a higher bleeding risk is accepted. If the patient is on an

MedicineToday I FEBRUARY 2018, VOLUME 19, NUMBER 2 33 Downloaded for personal use only. No other uses permitted without permission. © MedicineToday 2018.



antiplatelet, a platelet transfusion may be given.²⁹ If the patient is taking a NOAC, prothrombin complex concentrate will be administered. Reversal agents for NOACs are in the pipeline.³⁰

When it comes to medical management of CSDH, corticosteroid use is questionable and evidence is lacking.² Moreover, prolonged use of corticosteroids may result in complications and is associated with higher morbidity than surgical evacuation.² On this basis, if the CSDH is asymptomatic and/or small, only serial scans are required.³¹

Patient selection is one of the key factors in surgical management.⁷ Generally, as patients age, the risk of perioperative complications rises, mainly due to a higher rate of concomitant disease.³ For this reason, it is important to take a thorough history and have a careful discussion with the patient and their family regarding the risks, benefits and expectations of surgery.

By and large, the worse a patient presents, the less their chance of recovery, and the higher overall mortality rate.^{32,33} Hospital mortality in CSDH is about 15%, increasing to 26% and 32% at six months and one year, respectively.³³ The recurrence/persistence rate of CSDH is significant, with a reported frequency of 15 to 30%.^{32,33} Risk factors for recurrence/persistence are advanced age, diathesis, brain atrophy, alcoholism, high-density haemorrhage and postoperative pneumocephalus.^{3,32}

There is very little evidence about when to start patients back on antiplatelet or anticoagulation medication after CSDH.25 The risk of recurrent haemorrhage must be weighed against the risk of a thromboembolic event while the antiplatelet or anticoagulation medication is withheld.29 Current practice is variable and is generally a balance of the risks. It is recommended that the surgeon and GP prescribing these drugs collaborate to navigate a reasonable risk pathway.34 Generally, anticoagulation or antiplatelet therapy can be safely restarted three to four weeks after surgery if the progress CT is satisfactory. However, this decision must be tailored to the individual patient and may be restarted sooner in patients with a high thrombotic risk.35

Conclusion

CSDH occurs primarily in the elderly, in whom multiple comorbidities are frequently found. It may mimic other focal and global neurological conditions and should always be considered in differential diagnoses in the elderly. The presentation is insidious and is often characterised by headache and gait disturbance with focal neurology. Just under half of the patients presenting with CSDH will be on either anticoagulant or antiplatelet medication and this will often delay surgery. CSDH can atypically present as Parkinsonism or dementia. Awareness of these atypical presentations is important as these symptoms will resolve after an adequate intervention. CSDH can uncommonly occur in the young and requires vigilant investigation for specific causes. Evacuation can be achieved by twist-drill craniostomy, burrhole evacuation or minicraniotomy, and there is no demonstrated superiority of any technique in the literature. Patients generally are restarted back on their antiplatelets or anticoagulants three to four weeks after surgery with a satisfactory CT scan. Recurrence/persistence rates are 15 to 30%. Careful discussion with the patient and their family about the risks, benefits and expectations of surgery is best practice. MT

References

A list of references is included in the online version of this article (www.medicinetoday.com.au).

COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Chronic subdural haemorrhage Untangling the complexities

NICHOLAS LITTLE MB BS(Hons), FRACS; HEATH FRENCH MB BS, MS

References

1. Adhiyaman V, Asghar M, Ganeshram KN, Bhowmick BK. Chronic subdural haematoma in the elderly. Postgrad Med J 2002; 78: 71-75.

2. Almenawer SA, Farrokhyar F, Hong C, et al. Chronic subdural hematoma management: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 34,829 patients. Ann Surg 2014; 259: 449-457.

3. Borger V, Vatter H, Oszvald A, Marquardt G, Seifert V, Guresir E. Chronic subdural haematoma in elderly patients: a retrospective analysis of 322 patients between the ages of 65-94 years. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 2012; 154: 1549-1554.

4. Weir B, Gordon P. Factors affecting coagulation: fibrinolysis in chronic subdural fluid collections. J Neurosurg 1983; 58: 242-245.

5. Killeffer JA, Killeffer FA, Schochet SS. The outer neomembrane of chronic subdural hematoma. Neurosurg Clin N Am 2000; 11: 407-412.

6. Munteanu V, Luca-Husti I, Coman Teodora C, Ciurea Alexandru V. Differential diagnostic problems in elderly chronic subdural hematoma patients. Romanian Neurosurgery 2016; 2: 195-199.

7. Asghar M, Adhiyaman V, Greenway MW, Bhowmick BK, Bates A. Chronic subdural haematoma in the elderly - a North Wales experience. J R Soc Med 2002; 95: 290-292.

 Suman S, Meenakshisundaram S, Woodhouse P. Bilateral chronic subdural haematoma: a reversible cause of parkinsonism. J R Soc Med 2006; 99: 91-92.

 Senturk S, Guzel A, Bilici A, et al. CT and MR imaging of chronic subdural hematomas: a comparative study. Swiss Med Wkly 2010; 140: 335-340.
 Sim YW, Min KS, Lee MS, Kim YG, Kim DH. Recent changes in risk factors of chronic subdural hematoma. J Korean Neurosurg Soc 2012; 52: 234-239.
 Jones S, Kafetz K. A prospective study of chronic subdural haematomas in elderly patients. Age Ageing 1999; 28: 519-521.

 Kaminski HJ, Hlavin ML, Likavec MJ, Schmidley JW. Transient neurologic deficit caused by chronic subdural hematoma. Am J Med 1992; 92: 698-700.
 Welsh JE, Tyson GW, Winn HR, Jane JA. Chronic subdural hematoma presenting as transient neurologic deficits. Stroke 1979; 10: 564-567.
 Gelabert-Gonzalez M, Serramito-Garcia R, Aran-Echabe E. Parkinsonism secondary to subdural haematoma. Neurosurg Rev 2012; 35: 457-460; discussion 60-61.

15. Chen JC, Levy ML. Causes, epidemiology, and risk factors of chronic subdural hematoma. Neurosurg Clin N Am 2000; 11: 399-406.

16. Arpino L, Gravina M, Basile D, Franco A. Spontaneous chronic subdural hematoma in a young adult. J Neurosurg Sci 2009; 53: 55-57.

17. Hou K, Li CG, Zhang Y, Zhu BX. The surgical treatment of three young chronic subdural hematoma patients with different causes. J Korean Neurosurg Soc 2014; 55: 218-221.

18. Toledo E, Lebel A, Becerra L, et al. The young brain and concussion: imaging as a biomarker for diagnosis and prognosis. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2012; 36: 1510-1531. 19. Gelabert-Gonzalez M, Frieiro-Dantas C, Serramito-Garcia R, et al. [Chronic subdural hematoma in young patients]. Neurocirugia (Asturias, Spain) 2013; 24: 63-69.

20. Weigel R, Schmiedek P, Krauss JK. Outcome of contemporary surgery for chronic subdural haematoma: evidence based review. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2003; 74: 937-943.

 Ducruet AF, Grobelny BT, Zacharia BE, et al. The surgical management of chronic subdural hematoma. Neurosurg Rev 2012; 35: 155-169; discussion 69.
 Liu W, Bakker NA, Groen RJ. Chronic subdural hematoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis of surgical procedures. J Neurosurg 2014; 121: 665-673.
 Santarius T, Kirkpatrick PJ, Ganesan D, et al. Use of drains versus no drains after burr-hole evacuation of chronic subdural haematoma: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2009; 374: 1067-1073.

24. Yadav YR, Parihar V, Chourasia ID, Bajaj J, Namdev H. The role of subgaleal suction drain placement in chronic subdural hematoma evacuation. Asian J Neurosurg 2016; 11: 214-218.

25. Chari A, Clemente Morgado T, Rigamonti D. Recommencement of anticoagulation in chronic subdural haematoma: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Br J Neurosurg 2014; 28: 2-7.

26. Rust T, Kiemer N, Erasmus A. Chronic subdural haematomas and anticoagulation or anti-thrombotic therapy. J Clin Neurosci 2006; 13: 823-827.
27. Rahman A, Latona J. New oral anticoagulants and perioperative management of anticoagulant/antiplatelet agents. Aust Fam Physician 2014; 43: 861-866.
28. Woo CH, Patel N, Conell C, et al. Rapid warfarin reversal in the setting of intracranial hemorrhage: a comparison of plasma, recombinant activated factor VII, and prothrombin complex concentrate. World Neurosurg 2014; 81: 110-115.
29. Shapey J, Glancz LJ, Brennan PM. Chronic subdural haematoma in the elderly: is it time for a new paradigm in management? Curr Geriatr Rep 2016; 5: 71-77.

30. Gordon JL, Fabian TC, Lee MD, Dugdale M. Anticoagulant and antiplatelet medications encountered in emergency surgery patients: a review of reversal strategies. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2013; 75: 475-486.

31. Horikoshi T, Naganuma H, Fukasawa I, Uchida M, Nukui H. Computed tomography characteristics suggestive of spontaneous resolution of chronic subdural hematoma. Neurol Med Chir 1998; 38: 527-532; discussion 32-33.
32. Amirjamshidi A, Abouzari M, Eftekhar B, et al. Outcomes and recurrence rates in chronic subdural haematoma. Brit J Neurosurg 2007; 21: 272-275.
33. Miranda LB, Braxton E, Hobbs J, Quigley MR. Chronic subdural hematoma in the elderly: not a benign disease. J Neurosurg 2011; 114: 72-76.
34. Guha D, Coyne S, Macdonald RL. Timing of the resumption of antithrombotic agents following surgical evacuation of chronic subdural hematomas: a retrospective cohort study. J Neurosurg 2016; 124: 750-759.
35. Wijdicks EF, Schievink WI, Brown RD, Mullany CJ. The dilemma of discontinuation of anticoagulation therapy for patients with intracranial hemorrhage and mechanical heart valves. Neurosurgery 1998; 42: 769-773.